



Hair Pollution Protection Assay Analysis

info@activeconceptsllc.com • Phone: +1-704-276-7100 • Fax: +1-704-276-7101

Tradename: Mycofuse® Protect

Code: 16916

CAS #: 7732-18-5 & 999999-99-4 & 68333-16-4 (or) 1686112-36-6

Test Request Form #: 6755

Lot #: N190605B

Sponsor: Active Concepts, LLC; 107 Technology Drive Lincolnton, NC 28092

Study Director: Maureen Danaher

Principle Investigator: Michael Hovis

Test Performed:

Hair Pollution Protection Assay

Introduction

The deleterious effects of pollution in skin and hair care has become a new frontier for anti-aging active ingredients. Environmental pollutants are results of automobile exhaust gas, industrial emissions, and even emissions from simple household chores such as cooking and cleaning. Hair is subject to these environmental aggressions as well as UV irradiation and, unlike the skin, hair is quite vulnerable and lacks self-protection mechanisms. Exposure to environmental pollution can result in dry, brittle hair with decreased strength and elasticity.

Our hair pollution protection assay was conducted to assess the ability of **Mycofuse® Protect** to protect the hair from the oxidative effects of air pollution. Hair swatches were treated and exposed to cigarette smoke, and peroxidation of hair lipids were assessed using a Malonaldehyde (MDA) Assay. Pollutant cigarette smoke is a suitable substance containing all key pollution components such as reactive oxygen species (ROS), reactive nitrogen species, and electrophilic aldehydes. Reactive oxidants as well as free radicals from cigarette smoke are closely associated with oxidative stress and secondary oxidative events, such as lipid peroxidation.

The MDA assay is useful for quantitatively measuring the end product of lipid peroxidation and determining oxidative stress. MDA is frequently used as a bio marker for oxidative stress and, in this case, lipid peroxidation (the breaking down of lipids) due to environmental stress. An increase in MDA indicates an increase in lipid peroxidation and oxidative stress.

Information contained in this technical literature is believed to be accurate and is offered in good faith for the benefit of the customer. The company, however, cannot assume any liability or risk involved in the use of its chemical products since the conditions of use are beyond our control. Statements concerning the possible use of our products are not intended as recommendations to use our products in the infringement of any patent. We make no warranty of any kind, expressed or implied, other than that the material conforms to the applicable standard specification.



Hair Pollution Protection Assay Analysis

info@activeconceptsllc.com • Phone: +1-704-276-7100 • Fax: +1-704-276-7101

Materials

- A. Kit:** Lipid Peroxidation (MDA) Assay Kit (Colorimetric/Fluorometric) (ab118970)
- B. Equipment:** Microplate Reader; Pipettes; Dounce Homogenizer; Cole Parmer Stable Temp BLK Heater
- C. Media/Buffers:** Phosphate Buffered Saline (PBS); MDA Lysis Buffer; Glacial Acetic Acid
- D. Culture Plate:** Falcon Flat Bottom 96-Well Plate
- E. Reagents:** Butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT) (100X); Thiobarbituric Acid (TBA) Solution; MDA Standard
- F. Other:** Sterile Disposable Pipette Tips; Virgin Hair Swatches

Methods

Testing was performed on three total virgin hair swatches. Three testing groups were observed: untreated, shampoo/conditioner, and treated shampoo/ conditioner. For the treated shampoo/conditioner testing group, the hair swatches were washed with both a generic shampoo and conditioner formulation incorporating 5.0% **Mycofuse® Protect**.

Testing was performed in a custom 22"x15"x6" smoke chamber. A standard filter pump was placed in the side of the chamber as air supply and standard ceramic filter funnel was placed in the top of the chamber for continuous exposure. Each testing group of hair was hung together in designated 3"x3" section at the top of the smoke chamber. For stagnant exposure testing, four standard 2 ounce glass retain jars were placed inside the chamber.

One hundred cigarettes were placed into the ceramic filter funnel, with the tip of the cigarettes facing outward. Cigarettes were Riverside brand and all of the cigarette filters were torn off at the base. The cigarettes stood upright tightly packed in the filter funnel and the tips were torched.

The hair samples were in the smoke chamber for a total of thirty minutes. For the first ten minutes, continuous air was pulled through the filter pump system and the cigarettes were re-torched when needed. The final twenty minutes, the hair samples underwent stagnant exposure. During stagnant exposure, five cigarettes were placed standing in each of the four retain jars and positioned at each corner of the smoke chamber and the tips of the cigarettes were lit every five minutes. During stagnant exposure, the smoke chamber was fully sealed with the exception of small opening in the top where the original filter funnel was to allow oxygen into the system with minimal smoke loss. After the hair samples completed the time in the smoke chamber, each individual hair sample was placed in a sealed plastic bag for testing.

Information contained in this technical literature is believed to be accurate and is offered in good faith for the benefit of the customer. The company, however, cannot assume any liability or risk involved in the use of its chemical products since the conditions of use are beyond our control. Statements concerning the possible use of our products are not intended as recommendations to use our products in the infringement of any patent. We make no warranty of any kind, expressed or implied, other than that the material conforms to the applicable standard specification.

Hair lipid peroxidation was assessed with the Abcam Lipid Peroxidation (MDA) Assay Kit (ab118970). Samples were taken from the middle portion of the hair strand, totaling 0.2g of tissue weight. To prepare the hair for testing, each hair sample was washed with cold phosphate buffer solution (PBS) and placed in 15ml conical tubes with the MDA lysis buffer. The pestle portion of a dounce grinder and vortex were used to agitate the hair and release the lipids.

The MDA in the sample reacts with thiobarbituric acid (TBA) while being incubated on a hotplate to generate a MDA-TBA adduct. The MDA-TBA adduct can be easily quantified colorimetrically using optical density.

Results

The data obtained from this study met criteria for a valid assay and the controls performed as anticipated.

$$\text{Percent (\%) Change} = \frac{\text{MDA Concentration}_{\text{Sample}} - \text{MDA Concentration}_{\text{Untreated Control}}}{\text{MDA Concentration}_{\text{Untreated Control}}} \times 100$$

Mycofuse® Protect at a concentration of 5.0% demonstrated lower levels of MDA than the control standards for most testing groups. Hair samples treated with 5.0% **Mycofuse® Protect** in Shampoo and Conditioner showed 40.0% less MDA than the hair samples treated with the control shampoo and conditioner.

Malonaldehyde Lipid Peroxidation Mycofuse® Protect (16916)




Figure 1. MDA Concentration and Percent Change



Hair Pollution Protection Assay Analysis

info@activeconceptsllc.com • Phone: +1-704-276-7100 • Fax: +1-704-276-7101

Discussion

Pollutant cigarette smoke contains key components found in air pollution such as ROS, reactive nitrogen species, and electrophilic aldehydes. Reactive oxidants as well as free radicals from cigarette smoke are closely associated with oxidative stress and secondary oxidative events, such as lipid peroxidation. As oxidative degradation of lipids occurs, free radicals take electrons from the lipids which can lead to damage presenting as dry or brittle hair. Quantification of lipid peroxidation, which forms reactive aldehydes such as MDA, is essential to assessing oxidative stress.

In this study, **Mycofuse[®] Protect** was tested to evaluate its effects on the inhibition of lipid peroxidation of hair samples exposed to air pollution after various treatments. At a concentration of 5.0%, **Mycofuse[®] Protect** demonstrated lower levels of MDA than the untreated control. Hair samples treated with 5.0% **Mycofuse[®] Protect** in shampoo and conditioner showed 62.5% less MDA than the untreated hair samples. In addition to this, it showed 40.0% less MDA than the hair samples treated with shampoo and conditioner alone.

It can therefore be concluded that at normal use concentrations **Mycofuse[®] Protect** can be used as an effective hair pollution protection ingredient.

Information contained in this technical literature is believed to be accurate and is offered in good faith for the benefit of the customer. The company, however, cannot assume any liability or risk involved in the use of its chemical products since the conditions of use are beyond our control. Statements concerning the possible use of our products are not intended as recommendations to use our products in the infringement of any patent. We make no warranty of any kind, expressed or implied, other than that the material conforms to the applicable standard specification.